



**City of North St. Paul
Parks & Recreation Commission
Regular Meeting Agenda**

**January 26, 2022
6:30 PM**

The Parks and Recreation Commission Meeting will be conducted on **January 26, 2022** at 6:30 p.m. The meeting location is the Sandberg Room of City Hall, located at 2400 Margaret St., North St. Paul.

The Parks and Recreation Commission meeting will also be meeting by interactive TV under Minn. Stat. 13D.02. Members of the public are permitted to attend the meeting in person. However, it is encouraged to participate in the meeting remotely. Instructions can be found below.

The **January 26, 2022 Zoom meeting can be accessed**
via: <https://tinyurl.com/NSPparksandrec>
(from a PC, Mac, tablet, iPhone or Android device)
or by phone at 1-929-205-6099, meeting ID 821 4097 2224, password 362656.

The Parks & Recreation Commission Zoom meeting will be 'open to the public' to listen in, but will be muted from contributing at all times with the exception of a Public Hearing and open to the public forum.

Please join the meeting early to test your audio and video settings. If you join via a device and your audio is not working, you may need to use the dial-in phone number option in order to be heard.

I. Call to Order

II. Roll Call

Lloyd Grachek, Chair
Laura Greenlee-Karp, Vice Chair
Larry Amsden
Heather Haas
Ingrid Koller
Sue Springborn
Nancy Thorsen
Sarah Zahradka
Arthur Alvarez, Jr.
Tim Cole, Council Liaison

STAFF

Jill Officer

III. Adopt Agenda

IV. Approval of Minutes

- A. Approval of December meeting minutes

V. Meeting Open to Public

Note: This is a courtesy extended to persons wishing to address the commission who are not on the agenda. A completed public comment form should be presented to the Parks & Recreation Commission Secretary prior to the meeting; presentations will be limited to 3 minutes. This session will be limited to 15 minutes.

VI. Public Hearings

VII. Commission Business, Action Items & Recommendations

- A. Election of Officers
- B. Attendance Requirement
- C. Review Planning Commission recommendations for Park Improvement Plan
- D. Final Mission Statement *Note: the City Attorney had no changes with the proposed statement that Laura created. The Mission Statement needs to be included in the final Park Improvement Plan.*

VIII. Old Business

IX. Reports from Staff

X. Reports from Commissioners & Park Liaisons

XI. Adjournment

The next regularly scheduled Parks & Recreation Commission meeting is February 23rd, 2022 at 6:30 pm.

December 15, 2021

6:30 PM

The December 15, 2021 Parks & Rec Commission meeting was held in the Sandberg Room of North Saint Paul City Hall, 2400 Margaret Street, North Saint Paul MN 55109

I. Call to Order

Chair Lloyd Grachek called the meeting to order at 6:30

II. Roll Call

Lloyd Grachek, Chair - Here

Laura Greenlee-Karp, Vice Chair - Absent

Larry Amsden - Here

Heather Haas - Absent

Ingrid Koller - Here

Sue Springborn - Here

Nancy Thorsen - Here

Sarah Zahradka - Absent

Arthur Alvarez, Jr - Absent

Council Liaison: Tim Cole – Via Zoom

Staff: Lisa Ritchie - Here

III. Adopt Agenda

On motion by Commissioner Nancy Thorsen, seconded by Commissioner Koller with all present voting aye (5-0), motion carried to adopt the agenda.

IV. Approval of Minutes

Approve the 11/17/2021 regular meeting minutes.

On motion by Commissioner Koller, seconded by Commissioner Lloyd Grachek with all present voting aye (5-0), motion carried to approve the minutes.

V. Meeting Open to Public –

No one was in attendance from the public

VI. Public Hearings - None

VII. Commission Business, Action Items & Recommendations

A. Review Park Improvement Plan

The commission reviewed the Park Improvement Plan draft created by WSB, page by page and made comments and suggested changes as proposed in the attached Park Improvement Plan. Larry Amsden made a motion to approve the document with proposed changes on 12/15/2021. Sue Springborn seconded the motion. All in favor voting AYE (6-0). Motion carried.

The proposed draft will go to the North Saint Paul Planning Commission for review, then back to the Parks & Rec Commission to review the Planning commissions comments. Final comments will be sent to WSB to update the final draft that will be presented to City Council.

VIII. Reports from Staff

None

IX. Reports from Commissioners & Park Liaisons

None

X. Adjournment

Nancy Thorsen made a motion to adjourn, seconded by Sue Springborn.

Meeting adjourned at 7:56 PM

§ 32.12 ATTENDANCE REQUIREMENTS.



(A) *Purpose.* To assist boards and commissions in fulfilling their purposes and duties and to ensure that they are not prevented from doing so by the repeated absence of their members, the Council hereby establishes an attendance policy for members serving on boards and commissions.

(B) *Attendance.* Duly appointed members of boards and commissions shall be required to attend no less than half the official meetings of the board or commission held within a given calendar year unless specifically excused by the Chair of such board or commission and said excused absences noted in the minutes. Failure to attend no less than half of the official meetings within a given calendar year, without excuse of the Chairperson, shall be considered as formal notice of resignation from said board or commission. In addition, failure to attend four- consecutive regular meetings without excuse of the Chairperson, shall be considered as formal notice of resignation from said board or commission.

(C) *Exceptions.* The requirements of this subsection shall not apply to attendance at special meetings, or of meetings of committees or subcommittees, including committees of the whole, established by a board or commission pursuant to § [32.07](#) Committees and Subcommittees.

(D) *Annual review.* The Council shall conduct an annual review of the attendance of members of boards and commissions established by the Council.

(E) *Vacancies.* The successor to any member of a board or commission who has been removed pursuant to this subsection shall be appointed pursuant to § [32.06](#) Appointment and Terms.

(Ord. 737, passed 6-16-2015)

Recommendations from Planning Commission:

- A. Include: (as these are included in the 2040 Comp Plan but missing in the PIP)
 - Environmental Learning Center (FKA: PCU)
 - Central Park (Seppala & Margaret)
 - Urban Ecology (off of the Gateway Trail)
- B. There was discussion around the Park Classifications and how some of the parks were classified. It was recommended to eliminate the small and large classifications, combining these and just using “Neighborhood Parks” as the category for both. This would require updates to these sections (pages 8 thru 22):
 - Park Classifications
 - Inventory and Conditions
- C. **Page 3; Bullet 1:** The overall purpose of the plan is to help achieve 2040 Comp Plan goals. This bullet could be reworded to end: “...improvements in the city’s parks, trails and open space system necessary to achieve an overarching community goal articulated in the City’s 2040 Comprehensive Plan: to enhance and expand the parks, trails and open space system to ensure all have access to quality community facilities”.
- D. **Page 3; Bullet 3:** because the final document will be adopted by the council, this bullet could say: “Establish timelines that enable the City to complete parks, trails and open space improvements and disperse the necessary funds in an orderly fashion.”
- E. **Page 3:** Consider adding a bullet saying the plan will be updated as part of the annual capital improvement plan process.
- F. **Page 3; 2nd paragraph:** this statement was true in 2012; but is no longer. The draft plan addresses equipment or structure replacement needs, potential new parks and amenities, possible reconfiguration of park spaces and the need for ongoing community input. (In 2012, no investment had occurred for many years so the goal was to start doing something about those deteriorated conditions.)
- G. **Page 3; 3rd paragraph:** there are several primary revenue sources used for park-related capital improvements including the Park Fund, other General Funds (PW, Streets, Building etc.) and the Park Dedication Fund. That’s evident from the category detail in the 2022 budget documents. Grants are also potential funding options going forward.
- H. **Page 4; 2nd paragraph:** Beginning with this paragraph and ending with the last paragraph on page 5, this section could be reworded to focus on future goals rather than the “why invest” question that’s no longer an issue. For example, a statement that parks, trails and open spaces are important facets in the quality of life in NSP. Followed by the

various benefits: they contribute positively to the health and well-being of all individuals by providing opportunities for both active and passive recreational pursuits; they have a positive effect on neighborhood property values; they help protect the waterways and the natural environment; well maintained, they reflect a sense of community pride and they help increase ethnic and cultural awareness. Those are only examples of possible statements related to multiple City goals covered in the 2040 Comp Plan and various other city implementation plans.

- I. **Page 6; 1st paragraph:** the first sentence could say: “....inventory and research by City staff and input from the community, will serve as a guide as the City looks to improve ...”. Use of “the City” vs. “we” reinforces it’s a plan for the city, not just this council and adding “community” reinforces priorities and plans may change over the course of the plan due to changing needs. I think a statement referencing those who provided input to WSB on the plan itself is appropriate to include somewhere.
- J. **Page 6; 2nd paragraph:** The 2nd sentence is unnecessary - there's no need to say “it is our hope” when it's a City plan that's part of the overall capital improvement plan the council knows it needs to have. If “we” or “our” are to be used, it needs to be clear to whom the words refer (council, city staff, WSB staff, commissions, residents, all of the above?).
- K. **Page 6; 3rd paragraph:** The 1st sentence mentions funding sources are provided for all parks; but I don’t recall funding detail included elsewhere. The final page of the draft mentions funding while concluding more work on identifying needs that account for current and anticipated demographics is necessary to assess strategic investments and potential funding sources. IMO, that just reinforces that the purpose of the plan is ensuring “all have access to quality community facilities”. The Comp Plan is chock full of demographic forecasts provided by the Met Council for planning purposes and I'm not aware any longer-term ones have yet changed.
- L. **Page 11 (4th bullet point under Prescription, last sentence)**
Add: Urban Ecology Center
Add: and provide an access point to downtown

Last sentence should read:

For instance, Rotary Park and the Urban Ecology Center are located along the Gateway State Trail which can service users from outside the local community and provide an access point to downtown.

- M. **Page 49**
If possible, breakdown of age groups @ playgrounds
- N. **Page 50**
Change Park Category from RP (Regional Park) to CP (Community Parks) as this is how it is categorized in the 2040 Comp Plan
- O. I quickly scanned through most of the details so I'll have to read it more carefully; however, a few things I noticed on park detail pages:
- I think the Polar Park playground was replaced in 2020 so I'm not sure the replacement of that playground as a short-term project is justified by the explanation given in that portion of the draft
 - Polar, Colby Dorothy "paths" should be referred to the same way as each provided the accessible route as part of a playground install
 - Hause is located "near" the downtown district.
 - Rotary Park doesn't include the new restroom building – I think it was completed this year
 - For the Colby Hills vacant lot, wording similar to that for Country Club could be added for improvement plans
 - Accessibility improvements for some parks is mentioned; however, it might be helpful to identify the improvements made after March 2012 that need to be "retro-fitted". That's not something the city should lose sight of as future improvements are planned.
 - One item that could be helpful is to include an anticipated life span for certain amenities such as a playground, swings or shelters – for example, the Tower shelter has a date of 2012 and it's not clear when it would likely be due for replacement. I think the recently adopted CIP used a 10year span for shelters perhaps as a placeholder.

Lisa... Something I'll ask you to share with WSB: the gist of my comments, and Ricks, was the PIP draft gave mainly appearance related purposes (i.e. appeal to "regional users" or developers) but didn't get into the park-related goals for NSP residents in the Comp Plan. I think the following gives a better sense of what I was trying to say so please pass it along for consideration and fine-tuning:

Providing and maintaining a balanced and integrated park system instills a sense of community pride and cohesion that helps showcase North St. Paul as an extraordinary place to live and work. Parks, trails and open spaces play a vital role in the quality of life in the City by contributing to the health of residents and to the economic and environmental well-being of the community. These quality-of-life benefits include:

Economic: parks are known to improve the local tax base and increase property values. Real estate market studies consistently show that private property values increase the closer a parcel of land is to a park or protected open space which increases property tax revenues for the City. Parks and recreation programs can also generate other revenues from sports tournaments, facility rentals and special events such as arts, music, and festivals.

Health and Environmental: park systems and recreation programs and services contribute positively to the health of community members. According to Centers for Disease Control studies, providing and maintaining places for people of all ages and abilities to be physically active improves individual and overall community health. Other studies show engaging in passive recreational activities correlates with reduced stress and lowered blood pressure levels. Parks and protected open spaces are also known to improve water quality, protect groundwater, prevent flooding, improve air quality, provide vegetative buffers that support wildlife, and provide places for people to connect with nature.

Community Cohesion: parks and open spaces provide gathering places for diverse individuals of all ages, races, cultures, abilities and economic status. They provide outdoor spaces for employees, homeowners, renters and those residing in congregate living facilities. Access to quality parks and recreation opportunities has also been strongly linked to lower crime rates and reduced juvenile delinquency. The past several years clearly demonstrated the value North St. Paul community members place on having access to safe outdoor spaces to visit as an individual, take their children or gather as a family or group.

As I typed that, two challenges came to mind that might be considered for the implementation section: the first is that staff costs for routine maintenance are a factor to consider as new amenities are added. The second is I think off-street parking is available only at Casey, Silver, McKnight and Rotary parks. Two things: the park-specific pages could document whether the park has on or off street parking and the challenge-related issue is proximity to a quality park means designing neighborhood parks to be as amenity-rich, functional and walkable as

possible. Neighborhood parks are a key element of a park systems from a livable community view (the 10 minute walk concept). Short term whether the Northwood plan might accommodate some off-street parking is an unknown at this time. Longer term each park will probably need to be assessed from a best use of space design view.

That's it....Elaine

- A. Include: (as these are included in the 2040 Comp Plan but missing in the PIP)
- Environmental Learning Center (FKA: PCU)
 - Central Park (Seppala & Margaret)
 - Urban Ecology (off of the Gateway Trail)
- B. There was discussion around the Park Classifications and how some of the parks were classified. It was recommended to eliminate the small and large classifications, combining these and just using “Neighborhood Parks” as the category for both. This would require updates to these sections (pages 8 thru 22):
- Park Classifications
 - Inventory and Conditions
- C. **Page 3; Bullet 1:** The overall purpose of the plan is to help achieve 2040 Comp Plan goals. This bullet could be reworded to end: “...improvements in the city’s parks, trails and open space system necessary to achieve an overarching community goal articulated in the City’s 2040 Comprehensive Plan: to enhance and expand the parks, trails and open space system to ensure all have access to quality community facilities”.
- D. **Page 3; Bullet 3:** because the final document will be adopted by the council, this bullet could say: “Establish timelines that enable the City to complete parks, trails and open space improvements and disperse the necessary funds in an orderly fashion.”
- E. **Page 3:** Consider adding a bullet saying the plan will be updated as part of the annual capital improvement plan process.

1. Identify and prioritize capital improvements ~~that are needed in the city's parks, based on a full inventory of the equipment and structures in each park;~~
2. Estimate project costs for capital improvements;
3. Recommend timelines ~~that will enable the city to complete the highest-priority projects within five years, and the remaining projects to be completed in the next 5-10 years.~~

Most of the ~~recommended~~ improvements ~~will~~ involve replacing existing equipment and/or structures, as opposed to adding new amenities to parks.

The Park Fund is the main revenue source for park improvement projects. The Park Fund consists of proceeds from Local Government Aid, community events, donations from individuals and organizations that support public access to parks and recreation areas.

- F. **Page 3; 2nd paragraph:** this statement was true in 2012; but is no longer. The draft plan addresses equipment or structure replacement needs, potential new parks and amenities, possible reconfiguration of park spaces and the need for ongoing community input. (In 2012, no investment had occurred for many years so the goal was to start doing something about those deteriorated conditions.)
- G. **Page 3; 3rd paragraph:** there are several primary revenue sources used for park-related capital improvements including the Park Fund, other General Funds (PW, Streets, Building etc.) and the Park Dedication Fund. That’s evident from the category detail in the 2022 budget documents. Grants are also potential funding options going forward.

- H. **Page 4; 2nd paragraph:** Beginning with this paragraph and ending with the last paragraph on page 5, this section could be reworded to focus on future goals rather than the “why invest” question that’s no longer an issue. For example, a statement that parks, trails and open spaces are important facets in the quality of life in NSP. Followed by the various benefits: they contribute positively to the health and well-being of all individuals by providing opportunities for both active and passive recreational pursuits; they have a positive effect on neighborhood property values; they help protect the waterways and the natural environment; well maintained, they reflect a sense of community pride and they help increase ethnic and cultural awareness. Those are only examples of possible statements related to multiple City goals covered in the 2040 Comp Plan and various other city implementation plans.
- I. **Page 6; 1st paragraph:** the first sentence could say: “....inventory and research by City staff and input from the community, will serve as a guide as the City looks to improve ...”. Use of “the City” vs. “we” reinforces it’s a plan for the city, not just this council and adding “community” reinforces priorities and plans may change over the course of the plan due to changing needs. I think a statement referencing those who provided input to WSB on the plan itself is appropriate to include somewhere.
- J. **Page 6; 2nd paragraph:** The 2nd sentence is unnecessary - there's no need to say “it is our hope” when it's a City plan that's part of the overall capital improvement plan the council knows it needs to have. If “we” or “our” are to be used, it needs to be clear to

North St. Paul residents have always loved their parks. When the City was founded and platted by Henry Castle in 1887, several acres of land were set aside for this purpose. Today, our community of only 3.1 square miles is privileged to enjoy a total of 14 parks, including three nature preserves and two recreational lakes. Much of the playground equipment has been replaced in recent years. ~~To further enhance the park system, keep in good condition a variety of maintenance items and accessible paths are.~~

The natural question to ask is, why should the community continue to invest in its parks? One reason is to protect property values. Research has shown that quality parks raise the property values of homes in the area. ~~Real estate trends show that home prices in suburban areas are continuing to decline at a faster rate than those in more urban areas. Investing in our parks could be a tool to prevent the further decline of home prices.~~

The Park Improvement Plan, which is a culmination of inventory and research by City staff and input from the ~~Parks Commission~~, will serve as a guide for capital improvements as we look to improve North St. Paul's parks over the next 15 years.

Included is a complete inventory of the amenities and infrastructure in each of the parks, the status of their age and condition, as well as the estimated cost to replace structures and equipment. It is our hope that by highlighting the needs of our parks and communicating the cost for replacement, the community will recognize the need for prioritization and creativity in fundraising to leverage all available financial resources.

Recommendations for prioritization, project phasing, and funding sources are also provided for all parks. Additionally, the City of North St. Paul may consider a future comprehensive park planning study be undertaken to ensure ~~that~~ recommendations are in line with community needs. Factors include park distribution and level of service provided, current trends in recreation, and evolving demographics and housing densities.

whom the words refer (council, city staff, WSB staff, commissions, residents, all of the above?).

- K. **Page 6; 3rd paragraph:** The 1st sentence mentions funding sources are provided for all parks; but I don't recall funding detail included elsewhere. The final page of the draft mentions funding while concluding more work on identifying needs that account for current and anticipated demographics is necessary to assess strategic investments and potential funding sources. IMO, that just reinforces that the purpose of the plan is ensuring “all have access to quality community facilities”. The Comp Plan is chock full of demographic forecasts provided by the Met Council for planning purposes and I'm not aware any longer-term ones have yet changed.

- L. Page 11 (4th bullet point under Prescription, last sentence)

Add: Urban Ecology Center

Add: and provide an access point to downtown

Last sentence should read:

For instance, Rotary Park and the Urban Ecology Center are located along the Gateway State Trail which can service users from outside the local community and provide an access point to downtown.

- M. Page 49

If possible, breakdown of age groups @ playgrounds

- N. Page 50

Change Park Category from RP (Regional Park) to CP (Community Parks) as this is how it is categorized in the 2040 Comp Plan

- O. I quickly scanned through most of the details so I'll have to read it more carefully; however, a few things I noticed on park detail pages:

-I think the Polar Park playground was replaced in 2020 so I'm not sure the replacement of that playground as a short-term project is justified by the explanation given in that portion of the draft

-Polar, Colby Dorothy "paths" should be referred to the same way as each provided the accessible route as part of a playground install

-Hause is located "near" the downtown district.

-Rotary Park doesn't include the new restroom building – I think it was completed this year

-For the Colby Hills vacant lot, wording similar to that for Country Club could be added for improvement plans

Prescription:

- Specialty parks serve varying purposes in the community, dependent upon the actual type of development it offers.
- Funding will be available for capital improvements, and maintenance and repair will be performed in line with the actual level of development to ensure the parks are adequately meeting the needs of the users.
- Some specialty parks may have partnership opportunities to ensure its success in terms of development, maintenance and replacement efforts.
- Specifically, efforts will be made to maintain and enhance the amenities that generate a community-wide and regional draw to the park and city. For instance, Rotary Park is located along the Gateway State Trail which can service users from outside the local community.

- Mini Park
- Neighborhood Park
- Community Park
- Open Space/Green Space
- Special Use

Accessibility improvements for some parks is mentioned; however, it might be helpful to identify the improvements made after March 2012 that need to be "retro-fitted". That's not something the city should lose sight of as future improvements are planned.

One item that could be helpful is to include an anticipated life span for certain amenities such as a playground, swings or shelters – for example, the Tower shelter has a date of 2012 and it's not clear when it would likely be due for replacement. I think the recently adopted CIP used a 10year span for shelters perhaps as a placeholder.



NORTH ST. PAUL

PARK IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2022



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Purpose	3
Introduction	4
Park Map	7
Park Classifications	8
Inventory and Conditions	
Small Neighborhood Parks	12
Large Neighborhood Parks	22
Community Parks	31
Specialty Parks	41
Recreation Standards	48
Facilities Charts	49
Implementation Plan	
Implementation Strategy	51
Park Prioritization Table	53
Project Descriptions	
Short-term Projects	54
Mid-term Projects	60
Conclusion	66
Appendix A: Detailed Maps	67

PURPOSE

The purpose of the North St. Paul Park Improvement Plan (PIP) is to:

1. Identify and prioritize capital improvements ~~that are~~ needed in the city's parks, based on a full inventory of the equipment and structures in each park;
2. Estimate project costs for capital improvements;
3. Recommend timelines ~~that will~~ enable the city to complete the highest-priority projects within five years, ~~and the remaining projects to be completed in the next 5-10 years.~~

Most of the ~~recommended~~ improvements ~~will~~ involve replacing existing equipment and/or structures, as opposed to adding new amenities to parks.

The Park Fund is the main revenue source for park improvement projects. The Park Fund consists of proceeds from Local Government Aid, community events, donations from individuals and organizations that support public access to parks and recreation areas.

INTRODUCTION



~~Photo of Silver Lake Park~~

North St. Paul residents have always loved their parks. When the City was founded and platted by Henry Castle in 1887, several acres of land were set aside for this purpose. Today, our community of only 3.1 square miles is privileged to enjoy a total of 14 parks, including three nature preserves and two recreational lakes. Much of the playground equipment has been replaced in recent years. ~~To further enhance the park system, keep in good condition a variety of maintenance items and accessible paths are.~~

The natural question to ask is, why should the community continue to invest in its parks? One reason is to protect property values. Research has shown that quality parks raise the property values of homes in the area. ~~Real estate trends show that home prices in suburban areas are continuing to decline at a faster rate than those in more urban areas. Investing in our parks could be a tool to prevent the further decline of home prices.~~

INTRODUCTION



~~Photo of~~ Casey Lake Park

Furthermore, ~~Continued~~ investment in the parks satisfies several of the goals ~~that were~~ set by the North St. Paul City Council in 2010. The first of the four goals was to “improve quality of neighborhoods.” Parks are a crucial neighborhood asset. The aesthetic improvement that renovated parks bring to a neighborhood can serve as a catalyst by encouraging residents to invest in the upkeep of their own homes. Aging and declining parks send a signal that the neighborhood and the city are also aging and declining. This is not the message we want to send to potential homebuyers or development professionals who visit our community

Another goal established by the Council is to further economic development. Parks and open space ~~has been~~ a proven community asset that helps to attract economic development. By continuing to maintain and strengthen our current neighborhood and ~~regional~~ parks, we can make North St. Paul not only more aesthetically appealing, but more attractive to developers. In summary, parks and open space contribute to higher home prices and more economic development, which in turn increases the tax base for the community. Indeed, maintaining and conserving parks and open space is a crucial part of North St. Paul’s economic health.

INTRODUCTION

The Park Improvement Plan, which is a culmination of inventory and research by City staff and input from the ~~Parks Commission~~, will serve as a guide for capital improvements as we look to improve North St. Paul's parks over the next 15 years.

Included is a complete inventory of the amenities and infrastructure in each of the parks, the status of their age and condition, as well as the estimated cost to replace structures and equipment. It is our hope that by highlighting the needs of our parks and communicating the cost for replacement, the community will recognize the need for prioritization and creativity in fundraising to leverage all available financial resources.

Recommendations for prioritization, project phasing, and funding sources are also provided for all parks. Additionally, the City of North St. Paul may consider a future comprehensive park planning study be undertaken to ensure ~~that~~ recommendations are in line with community needs. Factors include park distribution and level of service provided, current trends in recreation, and evolving demographics and housing densities.

Photos: Wildlife at Silver Lake



PARK MAP



PARK CLASSIFICATIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD

Definition:

A park classified as a “Small Neighborhood Park” is primarily used by residents of the neighborhood ~~in which~~ it is located. These parks occupy less than one square block of land within the community and may provide a variety of amenities.

Parks with this Classification:

- Dorothy Park
- Polar Park
- Country Club Park
- Colby Hills Park

Prescription:

Improvements to parks under this classification will be ~~more~~ minimal.

- The focus will be on maintenance/repair of existing equipment and amenities.
- Efforts will be made to enhance the visual appeal of these parks so they continue to serve as an asset for the neighborhood.
- As park equipment and infrastructure in these parks reaches the end of its lifespan, the Parks Commission will work with the neighborhood to gauge interest in replacing infrastructure and to explore new uses for the park, including open green space, community gardens, walking paths, public art, etc.



PARK CLASSIFICATIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD

Definition:

A park classified as a “Large Neighborhood Park” generally has more amenities than a small neighborhood park and takes up more than one square block of land. These parks are still primarily used by residents of the neighborhood, but they may also draw in other people from the larger community due to the diversity of amenities offered there.

Parks with this Classification:



- Northwood Park
- Tower Park
- Hause Park

Prescription:

- Improvements to these parks will be more substantial than for small neighborhood parks.
- Funding will go toward maintenance and repair, and when equipment and infrastructure reaches the end of its life, funding for replacement and/or potential new uses will be explored.

PARK CLASSIFICATIONS

COMMUNITY PARK

Definition:

A park classified as a “Community Park” differs from a neighborhood park by the fact ~~that~~ it draws in visitors from the larger community and even a larger region due to a unique natural feature or amenity. This classification replaces the previous “Regional Park” classification as described by the City of North St. Paul to avoid confusion with parks meeting the criteria for a Regional Park status, as recognized by the Metropolitan Council.

Parks with this Classification:

- Casey Lake Park
- Silver Lake Park
- McKnight Fields

Prescription:

- Community parks serve a crucial purpose in the community, as they attract a large number of visitors. Thus, these parks promote a positive and prosperous image for North St. Paul.
- Funding will be available for capital improvements, and maintenance and repair will be performed with greater frequency.
- Specifically, efforts will be made to maintain and enhance the amenities that generate a community-wide and regional draw to the park. ~~For instance, shoreline restoration will eventually be necessary~~ at Silver Lake Park in order to preserve the water quality.
- Similarly, the baseball fields at McKnight Field will be frequently considered for improvements because they draw ~~large quantities~~ of people from both within and outside the community.



PARK CLASSIFICATIONS

SPECIALTY PARK

Definition:

A park classified as a “Specialty Park” serves a special pupose within the city and can include varying levels of development.

Parks with this Classification:

- Rotary Park
- Veteran’s Memorial Park
- Southwood Nature Preserve
- Urban Ecology Center
- Undeveloped Downtown Parcel

Prescription:

- Specialty parks serve varying purposes in the community, dependent upon the actual type of development it offers.
- Funding will be available for capital improvements, and maintenance and repair will be performed in line with the actual level of development to ensure the parks are adequately meeting the needs of the users.
- Some specialty parks may have partnership opportunities to ensure its success in terms of development, maintenance and replacement efforts.
- Specifically, efforts will be made to maintain and enhance the amenities that generate a community-wide and regional draw to the park and city. For instance, Rotary Park is located along the Gateway State Trail which can service users from outside the local community.

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS


Polar Park

Location: Hilltop Court (between Shawnee Drive and 19th Street)

Polar Park is approximately 2 acres in size and primarily surrounded by residential lots with three points of access from adjacent residential streets. The park has mature trees that provides a nice character and shade.

Amenities

- Playground Area
- Picnic Spaces and Grills
- Informal Lawn / Field
- Accessible Walk to Playground



	Year Built
Concrete Walks	2020
Bench	2020
Swings	2020
Playground	1997
Bench	2020

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Polar Park (...Continued)

A new concrete walk from Shawnee Dr. to the playground was recently completed along with a concrete playground border per the park concept plan, which also illustrates the following:

- New /expanded playground equipment with engineered wood fiber surfacing.
- ~~Trail connection to 19th Ave with optional trail loop and connection to Hilltop Ct.~~
- New park amenities: benches, tables, receptacles, grills, etc.



INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Polar Park (...Continued)

Recommendations

General recommendations for the park are based on the park concept plan, which should continue to be confirmed by the City and residents in order to meet the actual needs and desires.

Estimated costs are in 2021 dollars based upon a project of similar size and complexity and assumes work is completed by a licensed, bonded general contractor.

Estimates should assume a 3-5% increase per year for inflation.

Description	Estimated Costs
Earthwork & Removals	\$10,000
Play Equipment Replacement	\$75,000
Benches, Tables & Grills	\$10,000
Concrete Playground Pad	\$4,000
6' Concrete Walk to South (~ 360 lf)	\$21,600
Restoration & Erosion Control	\$2,000
Park Signs (3)	\$9,000
Optional: 8' Bituminous Trail Loop (~ 850 lf)	\$23,000
Subtotal:	\$131,600
15% Contingency & Mobilization	\$19,740
15% Engineering & Design	\$19,740
Total:	\$171,080

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Country Club Park

Location: Eldridge Ave E (0.15 acres)

Amenities

- Open green space



Play structure has been removed, open green space remains

Recommendations

Evaluate and confirm community need prior to implementing new park amenities.

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Colby Hills Park

Location: Corner of 7th St N and Belmont Lane E

Approx. 1.5 acres in size with a developed park on the north side of Belmont Lane and an open lawn space / skating area on the south side of the street. A new concrete walk to the shelter and playground was recently completed.

Amenities

- Playground Area
- Picnic Shelter
- Picnic Tables & Grills
- Drinking Fountain
- Accessible Walk to Shelter & Playground
- Open Lawn Lot



	Year Built
Playground	2019
ADA Updates	2019
Open-air Shelter	2010
Swings	2009

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Colby Hills Parks (...Continued)



Playground Structure



Park Sign



Picnic Shelter



Green space

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Colby Hills Parks (...Continued)

Recommendations

General recommendations for the park continue previous improvements to complete the project for the near term.

Estimated costs are in 2021 dollars based upon a project of similar size and complexity and assumes work is completed by a licensed, bonded general contractor. Estimates should assume a 3-5% increase per year for inflation.

Description	Estimated Costs
Fence Replacement	\$6,000
Benches	\$2,500
Park Sign (1)	\$3,000
Optional: Volleball Net Only	\$3,500
Subtotal:	\$15,000



INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Dorothy Park

Location: Lake Boulevard

Approx. 1 acre in size and located along the lake with very scenic views. A new walk to the playground was completed in 2019.

Amenities

- Playground Area
- Benches & Picnic Tables
- Canoe Storage Rack
- Walk to Playground



	Year Built
Walk	2019
Playground	2017
Swings	2017
Bench	2017

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Dorothy Park (...Continued)



Swings and playground equipment were replaced in 2017 with an accessible walk in 2019 as part of the street project.



Canoes racks are available for rent for a nominal fee.

Recommendations

General recommendations include a new park sign and ongoing maintenance.

Description	Estimated Costs
Sign	\$3,000

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Tower Park

Location: Along 13th Ave E between 2nd St and E 2nd St

Approx. 3 acres in size and located halfway between North High School and Richardson Elementary School on a water tower site. Nice character and appeal.

Amenities

- Playground Area
- Picnic Shelter
- Benches, Picnic Tables & Grills
- Sand Volleyball Court
- Basketball Court
- Trails / Drive (0.12 miles)



	Year Built
Volleyball Border	2020
Playground	2015
Open-air Shelter	2012
Swings	2009 (Qty 3)
Merry-go-round	1999


INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Tower Park (...Continued)



Playground installed in 2015



Volleyball Court Improvements

Recommendations

General recommendations include a new park sign and ongoing maintenance.

Description	Estimated Costs
Sign	\$3,000

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Hause Park

Location: 2616 - 4th Ave E

Approx. 2 acres in size and located downtown district. Nice character and appeal with recent updates. The tennis court is undersized, in poor condition and not accessible. The ballfield is in need of repair / replacement.

Amenities

- Playground Area
- Park Building / Warming House with Restrooms
- Picnic Shelter
- Benches, Picnic Tables & Grills
- Tennis Court (undersized)
- Basketball Court
- Ballfield
- Concrete Walk to Building



	Year Built
Playground	2017
Swings	2017
Merry-go-round	2015
Open-air Shelter	2012
Building	Unknown



INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Hause Park (...Continued)



Shelter replaced in 2012



Ball field needs improvements



Tennis court in poor condition and is undersized



Renovation of the park building 2018



Playground replaced 2017

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Hause Park (...Continued)

Recommendations

General recommendations for the park continue previous improvements to complete the project for the near term which may include a new court for either a double pickleball within the existing footprint or slightly longer, or a single tennis court if it is widened to the proper width, along with walk connections for accessibility. It should be noted that pickleball can be a noisy sport so the surrounding residences should provide input on the suitability of the pickleball court here. Additional improvements include walk connections to the existing shelter which can be extended further down to the park building, and improvements to the ballfield with a new backstop, players benches and added agg-lime.

Estimated costs are in 2021 dollars based upon a project of similar size and complexity and assumes work is completed by a licensed, bonded general contractor.

Estimates should assume a 3-5% increase per year for inflation.

Description	Estimated Costs
Earthwork & Removals	\$30,000
Double Pickleball Court	\$80,000
Concrete Walk & Ped Ramp to Court	\$11,000
Concrete Walk to Shelter & Building	\$18,000
Ballfield Improvements	\$25,000
Restoration & Erosion Control	\$2,000
Park Sign (2)	\$6,000
Subtotal:	\$172,000
15% Contingency & Mobilization	\$25,800
15% Engineering & Design	\$25,800
Total:	\$223,600



INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Northwood Park

Location: 1995 1st St N

Approx. 5 acres in size with a linear configuration. Nice character and appeal with recent updates. Ballfield has less than ideal orientation and many amenities are not connected by trails / walks. Buildings are at end of useful life.

Amenities

- Playground Area
- Sidewalk (along 1st St)
- Paved Hockey Rink
- Pleasure Rink
- Park Building / Warming House with Restrooms
- Picnic Shelter
- Benches, Picnic Tables & Grills
- Drinking Fountain
- Ball Field (not ideal orientation)
- Basketball Court



	Year Built
Ballfield Renovations	2018
Playground	2016
Swings	2016
Merry-go-round	2015
Open-air Shelter	1980
Park Building (warming house)	

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Northwood Park (...Continued)



Building is at end of useful life



New playground installed 2016



Basketball Court in poor condition



Shelter is at end of useful life

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

LARGE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS

Northwood Park (...Continued)

Recommendations

General recommendations for the park include redevelopment of some of the park amenities with proper trail connections. The recommendations listed below are general suggestions and should be determined with the residents to ensure any improvements are in alignment with community needs and desires.

Estimated costs are in 2021 dollars based upon a project of similar size and complexity and assumes work is completed by a licensed, bonded general contractor.

Estimates should assume a 3-5% increase per year for inflation.

Description	Estimated Costs
Earthwork & Removals	\$40,000
Hockey Rink Boards	\$120,000
Warming House w/Restrooms & Open-Air Shelter Component	\$1,000,000
Basketball Hoops on Rink (2)	\$14,000
Gaga Pit	\$6,000
Playground Open-Air Shelter	\$40,000
Benches, Tables & Grills	\$25,000
6' Concrete Walks (~ 500 lf)	\$30,000
Restoration & Erosion Control	\$10,000
Park Sign (1)	\$3,000
Optional: Volleyball Court Addition	\$15,000
Subtotal:	\$1,303,000
15% Contingency & Mobilization	\$195,450
15% Engineering & Design	\$195,450
Total:	\$1,693,900

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

COMMUNITY PARKS

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

COMMUNITY PARKS

McKnight Fields

Location: 2310 - 10th Ave E

Approximately 2 acres in size adjacent to the North High School and primarily focused on athletics.

Amenities

- Concession Stand
- Announcing Building
- Restrooms
- (4) Ball Fields in Wheel
- (1) Baseball Field with Turf Infield
- (1) Ball Field / Multiuse Field (ballfield has poor orientation)
- Tennis Courts
- Small Picnic Shelter
- Batting Cages
- Storage Shed



	Year Built
Field #1 Renovation	<u>2016</u>
	

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

COMMUNITY PARKS

McKnight Field (...Continued)




Field #4 renovated 2016



Concession stand and poor trail conditions



Tennis courts in poor condition



Announcing Booth & Bleachers

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

COMMUNITY PARKS

McKnight Field (...Continued)

Recommendations

General recommendations for the park include improvements and replacement of existing amenities that are in poor condition, at the end of their useful life and do not meet current codes or accessibility standards.

It is recommended ~~that~~ an updated master plan be completed that includes a thorough assessment of the age and condition of existing buildings and amenities to fully understand the replacement needs. The planning process should consider potential additional amenities that may ~~be~~ appropriate to add at this site and should include a corresponding estimate of probable costs.

Some items for consideration includes the following:

- Determine actual number of tennis courts needed. There may be potential to create dedicated pickleball courts on the park site that take up less space separate from dedicated tennis courts.
- Determine needs of informal ballfield on NW corner of site. If necessary to keep, reorient the field for optimal play.
- Consider new parking layouts for improved capacity and circulation.
- Consider exercise station area.
- Consider open air picnic shelter.
- Consider playground.
- Improvements to existing ballfields.
- Determine updates to concessions and announcement booth.
- Trail replacement.
- Consider a potential splash pad though this should be vetted with additional sites that may be more appropriate.

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

COMMUNITY PARKS

Casey Lake Park

Location: 2089 17th Ave E

Approximately 33 acres in size with a scenic natural setting along Casey Lake. The park has a variety of active and passive outdoor recreation that are spread out within the park with natural spaces that break up the developed areas and create numerous places to gather.

Amenities

- Park Booya Building and Shelter
- New Building w/Restrooms & Meeting Room
- Picnic Shelter
- Upper Casey Playground (Janie's Playground)
- Lower Casey Playground (Army Park)
- Upper & Lower Parking Lots
- Sand Volleyball
- Hockey Rink
- (4) Ballfields (Fields 1 & 2 Fenced)
- Trails (~1 mile)
- Fishing Pier
- Picnic Tables, Grills & Benches
- Wooden Exercise Equipment (old)



	Year Built
Jerry Bell Ball Field	2017 Renovation
Park Building New	2016
Booya Building	2016 Renovation
Fishing Pier	2015
Upper Casey Play Equipment	2015
Janie's Playground / Swings	2014
Open-air Shelter	
Wooden Equipment	1970's

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS


COMMUNITY PARKS

Casey Lake Park (...Continued)

Fields 3 & 4 have a poor orientation for play. The hockey rink has a poor orientation, is in poor condition and is a long distance from parking. The eastern playground (Army Park) will soon ~~be in need of~~ replacement.



*Shelter and park building renovated/
rebuilt in 2016*



*New monument sign
2018*



*Janie's playground constructed
in 2014*



Sand volleyball court



Field #1 renovated in 2017



Fishing Pier constructed in 2015

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

COMMUNITY PARKS

Casey Lake Park (...Continued)

Recommendations

General recommendations for the park include redevelopment / replacement of some of the park amenities. The recommendations listed are general suggestions and should be determined with the residents to ensure they are in alignment with community needs.

It is recommended to develop a community involved master plan process for any reorganization for improvements and develop a corresponding estimate of probable costs at that time.

Some items for consideration if reorganization of amenities is warranted includes the following:

- At time of further improvements to Fields 3 & 4, the infields could be relocated for optimal orientation for play.
- The hockey rink could be moved closer to the eastern parking lot in order to orient the rink north-south and improve proximity to parking.
- Add warming house building with restrooms and open-air shelter.
- Pave the rink to allow for multiple uses for year-round recreation.
- A new playground or natural play features could be relocated in the center near the ballfield locations for better proximity to the ballfields.
- Add one or two picnic shelters near the relocated playground and ballfields.
- Develop cross-country ski trails.
- Exercise equipment along trails.

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

COMMUNITY PARKS

Silver Lake

Location: 2601 19th Ave E

Approximately 6 acres in size along the southern shores of Silver Lake. The park is very linear and has a variety of recreation elements and picnic spaces with the swimming beach being a popular draw. There have been some improvements to playground equipment and pickleball striping has been added to the tennis courts. There are some accessibility issues to be addressed along with ongoing management of natural resources and water quality.

Amenities

- Swimming Beach
- Restrooms / Changing Rooms
- Fishing Pier
- (2) Playground Areas
- Sand Volleyball Court
- Double Tennis Court / Pickleball Overlay
- Walking/Biking Trails 0.37 Miles
- Picnic Tables, Grills & Benches
- Open Air Picnic Shelter
- Drinking Fountain



	Year Built
Merry-go-Round & Swings	2015
Open-air Shelter	2015
Fishing Pier	2014
Playground (East)	2006
Restroom Building	



INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

COMMUNITY PARKS

Silver Lake (...Continued)



Eastern Playground



Restroom Building



Merry-go-Round



Picnic shelter with views of the lake



Fishing pier added in 2011



New playground in 2015 at Silver Lake West

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

COMMUNITY PARKS

Silver Lake (...Continued)

Recommendations

General recommendations for the park include ongoing maintenance and replacement as needed with added trail connections as necessary for improved accessibility. When the playground on the eastern side is replaced, more unique or challenging pieces could be considered to meet ~~a varying demographic from the equipment on the western side.~~ The existing restroom building should be evaluated for code compliance to determine if improvements are necessary, or if replacement is a better value.

Estimated costs are in 2021 dollars based upon a project of similar size and complexity and assumes work is completed by a licensed, bonded general contractor. Estimates should assume a 3-5% increase per year for inflation.

Description	Estimated Costs
New Prefabricated Restroom Building	\$500,000
6' Concrete Walks (~150 lf)	\$10,000
Playground East	\$200,000
Security Light	\$6,000
Receptacles & Signage	\$8,000
SubTotal:	\$724,000
15% Contingency & Mobilization	\$108,600
15% Engineering & Design	\$108,600
Total	\$941,200

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SPECIALTY PARKS

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SPECIALTY PARKS

Rotary Park

~~Location:~~ North St. Paul Drive & 2nd St.

This park serves as a trailhead for the Gateway State Trail and is a more recent development with newer amenities.

Amenities

- (2) Open Air Shelters
- Picnic Tables & Benches
- Drinking Fountain
- Trails
- Bike Fix-It Station



	Year Built
Open Air Shelters	2014 - 2016
Drinking Fountain	2011



INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SPECIALTY PARKS

Rotary Park (...continued)



Recommendations

General recommendations for the park include ongoing maintenance and replacement as needed with added wayfinding and interpretive maps.

Description	Estimated Costs
Kiosk / Wayfinding Maps	\$15,000

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SPECIALTY PARKS

Veterans Memorial Park

Location: 2480 Margaret St N

Amenities

- Veteran's Memorial
- Benches



INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SPECIALTY PARKS


Southwood Nature Preserve

Location: Holloway Ave E

A natural resource park with approximately 28 acres containing a variety of ecosystems.

Amenities

- Walking Trails (natural)
- Overlook Deck
- Benches



Recommendations

General recommendations for the park include ongoing maintenance and replacement as needed with added wayfinding and educational / interpretive maps.

Description	Estimated Costs
Interpretive / Wayfinding Signs	\$5,000

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SPECIALTY PARKS

Urban Ecology Center

Location: West of ~~McNight~~ Road along South Ave E

A natural resource park along the Gateway State Trail with approximately 24 acres operated and maintained by the Ramsey-Washington Metro Watershed District as part of a wetland restoration project.

Amenities

- Walking Trails (natural) & Boardwalk
- Overlook Deck
- Wetland Restoration
- Education

Recommendations

General recommendations for the park include ongoing maintenance and replacement as needed in conjunction with RWMWD.



INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

SPECIALTY PARKS

Undeveloped Downtown Parcel

Location: 2498 7th Ave E

A city-owned lot in the downtown business district.

Amenities

- None

Recommendations

General recommendations include preparation of a master plan for development to meet the recreational needs of the growing populations within the surrounding neighborhoods while enhancing the downtown business district.

The design could accommodate a new or complementary type of recreation while providing opportunities for events and gathering spaces that would attract more users to the business district.

INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS

RECREATION STANDARDS

Recreation Design Standards

The National Park and Recreation (NRPA) is an organization that strives to help communities provide access and recreation to all people, no matter the race, age, income level, or ability. The NRPA provides a range of tools and resources to local park and recreation agencies to use for community engagement, program participation, and funding opportunities.

The NRPA has park metrics that can be used to generally evaluate the existing park system in comparison to similar communities. They provide general recreation standards per population for general evaluation purposes but are not intended to be applicable for every community.

The NRPA, and many communities, typically strive to have a ~~quality developed~~ park with recreation amenities within a quarter to half mile of every household which is considered to be a 10-minute walk.

There are numerous factors that come into play beyond the overall distance and population-based standards and it is recommended that cities conduct routine evaluations to determine how their overall system is functioning based on more community-specific data to identify improvement opportunities. For instance, an overall map may identify a park within a half mile of its residents yet does not account for any barriers that may prohibit or deter safe access to the parks. It also does not account for the actual size of the park or types of recreation available in relation to the populations being served.

Facilities Chart

The following chart identifies the total listed recreation amenities for the City of North St. Paul.

Facility Type	Number of existing in the city
Playgrounds	8
Picnic Areas	9
Enclosed Pavilions	4
Open Air Shelter	7
Parks with Grills 	6
Baseball Fields	12
Basketball Courts	2
Tennis Courts	2
Volleyball Courts	3
Outdoor Ice Rink	2
Fishing Pier	2
Outdoor Swimming	1

Park	Polar Park	Country Club Park	Colby Hills Park	Dorothy Park	Tower Park	Hause Park
Park Category	SNP	SNP	SNP	SNP	LNP	LNP
Nature areas						
Undeveloped						
Walking Trail						
Beach						
Baseball						
Basketball						
Ice Rink						
Volleyball						
Tennis						
Open Air Shelter						
Enclosed Pavilion						
Drinking Fountain						
Restrooms						
Fishing Pier						
Grills						
Picnic Tables						
Benches						
Playground						
Swings						

Park	Northwood Park	McKnight Fields	Casey Lake Park	Silver Lake Park	Rotary Park
Park Category	LNP	RP	RP	RP	SP
Nature areas					
Undeveloped					
Walking Trail					
Beach					
Baseball					
Basketball					
Ice Rink					
Volleyball					
Tennis					
Open Air Shelter					
Enclosed Pavilion					
Drinking Fountain					
Restrooms					
Fishing Pier					
Grills					
Picnic Tables					
Benches					
Playground					
Swings					

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Implementation Strategy

Implementation of recommended improvements should be based upon several factors:

- **Community Needs:** Based on identified community need assessment studies that indicate missing or desired amenities or programs, as well as identification of underserved populations that are based on park proximity and population density factors.
- **Park Condition Factors:** Action is warranted due to unsafe conditions, poor quality, end of life cycle, and ineffective at servicing current needs.
- **Available Funding:** Based on available funding or potential partnerships or funding opportunities for improvements or development.

While the data related to condition factors and funding can be easily accessed, it is recommended that a true community-involved needs analysis be undertaken as part of comprehensive park and trail planning effort to guide priorities.

The strategy for implementation and determination of priorities should work towards the goal of developing a balanced system that offers multiple community values. The priorities will need to be continually updated to reflect changes in recreation trends, demands, city demographics and land uses, as well as opportunities that arise.

An important consideration in development of strategic investments is to avoid spreading investment dollars too thinly across the entire system. This often results in having a minimal effect of the public perception of the value of the investment. As an example, rather than changing out or adding a couple of pieces of equipment within a playground, replace the entire playground at one time. Rather than undertake one improvement each year at a park site, undertake a more comprehensive improvement project. This is beneficial in reducing costs related to redundant items needed with each project and allows for a more manageable maintenance and replacement schedule for the park as well.

It is also recommended that the City of North St. Paul develop a park asset management plan to effectively project yearly anticipated maintenance and replacement costs for annual budgeting purposes.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Implementation Strategy (...Continued)

The biggest challenge facing the City of North St. Paul will be securing the funding needed to make the necessary improvements. The estimates below are significant as shown but currently do not include new park developments or some of the larger parks that will have substantially higher funding needed to make necessary improvements.

While there are partnership and funding opportunities to help in the cost-sharing, the City will need to determine a method of making more significant investments in the coming years in order to meet the goals of this plan.

Implementation Estimates

Classification	Park Name	Total Estimated Costs
Small Neighborhood	Dorothy	\$3,000
Small Neighborhood	Polar	\$171,080
Small Neighborhood	Country Club	TBD
Small Neighborhood	Colby Hills	\$19,500
Large Neighborhood	Northwood	\$1,693,900
Large Neighborhood	Tower	TBD
Large Neighborhood	Hause	\$223,600
Community	Casey Lake	TBD: ~\$2.5M+
Community	Silver Lake	\$941,200
Community	McNight Fields	TBD
Specialty	Rotary	\$15,000
Specialty	Veteran's Memorial	-
Specialty	Southwood Nature Preserve	\$5,000
Specialty	Urban Ecology Center	-
Specialty	Downtown Parcel	TBD: ~\$500k +

PARK IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

PARK PRIORITIZATION TABLE

The following Park Implementation Table with identification of potential projects does not necessarily reflect recommendations based on a Community Needs Analysis. General costs have been rounded and recommendations beyond the 10-year timespan are not provided.

Timeline	Park	Improvement	Est. Project Cost	Total Cost
Short Term (1 - 5 years)	General	Park Signs	\$32,000	
	Rotary Park	Signage	\$15,000	
	Hause	Tennis Court, Trails & Ballfield	\$224,000	
	Polar	Playground & Trails	\$171,000	
	Downtown Park	Master Plan	\$8,000 - \$10,000	
	McNight	Master Plan	\$8,000 - \$10,000	
	Casey Lake	Master Plan (East Side of Park)	\$8,000 - \$10,000	
	Casey Lake	Hockey Rink Improvements	\$50,000	
Short Term Projects Total Estimated Cost				\$516,000 - \$522,000
Mid Term (5 -10 years)	Downtown	TBD	TBD: \$500K+	
	Northwood	Improvements	\$1.7M	
	McNight	TBD		
	Silver Lake	Playground & Miscellaneous	\$941K	
	Casey Lake	TBD	TBD: ~\$2.5M+	
Mid Term Projects Total Estimated Cost				\$5.64M +

PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

SHORT TERM PROJECTS

TIMELINE: 1 - 5 YEARS

SHORT TERM PROJECTS

Project name: Park Signage Improvements

Park classification: Varies

Description: Replacement of park identification signs at select sites and adding in a sign / kiosk at Rotary Park for wayfinding.

Justification: Park identification signs are in need of replacement. Rotary Park signage would help direct trail users from the Gateway State Trail into the downtown business district destination areas and provide wayfinding for the trail system. A partnership or grant opportunity may be available for the Rotary Park Kiosk sign costs.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
Park Identification Signs	\$32,000		2022
Rotary Park Kiosk	\$15,000		2022-2023

SHORT TERM PROJECTS

Project name: Hause Park Improvements

Park classification: Large Neighborhood

Description: Continued park improvements to include the replacement of the existing court with either a standard sized tennis court and/or two pickleball courts. Additional improvements include walkways for improved accessibility and general ballfield improvements such as a new backstop, fencing, players benches and topdressing agg-lime.

Justification: Complete park improvements so the entire park will be complete for the next life cycle. The existing tennis court is in a very poor condition and is not the proper size.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
Hause Park Improvements	\$172,000 - \$224,000		2022-2023

SHORT TERM PROJECTS

Project name: Polar Park Improvements

Park classification: Small Neighborhood

Description: Continued park improvements to include the replacement of the existing playground and site picnic and seating areas with new trails for improved accessibility.

Justification: Continue park improvements so the entire park will be complete for the next life cycle. The existing playground is beyond its life span and likely does not meet current safety standards.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
Polar Park Improvements	\$132,000 - \$171,000		2023

SHORT TERM PROJECTS

Project name: Master Plan Projects
Park classification: Community & Specialty

Description: Development of park master plans in order to identify improvements related to desired amenities, optimal locations and related costs.

Justification: Master Plans are meant to provide guidance for future projects and funding needs, along with overall prioritization within the greater system. A community-led planning process will help identify community desires in terms of amenities and priorities. A master plan is necessary to find the optimal location for a splash pad and the development of a downtown park. Casey Lake is a community park and the eastern side should look at potential reorganization prior to undertaking any further improvements. ~~McNight~~ Fields is a community park that should be reviewed further to identify any potential additions and actual replacement costs.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
Downtown Park	\$8,000 - \$10,000		2022
McNight Fields	\$8,000 - \$10,000		2023
Casey Lake	\$8,000 - \$10,000		2023

SHORT TERM PROJECTS

Project name: Casey Lake Hockey Rink

Park classification: Community

Description: Improve the hockey rink at Casey Lake Park if the master plan process indicates the rink is to remain in its existing location. If the master plan process indicates a new rink location, the project should ultimately be part of a larger redevelopment project.

Justification: Casey Lake is a community park containing one of two city rinks which is currently in poor condition.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
Casey Lake Rink Improvements (dependent on master plan outcome)	\$50,000		2024

REPLACEMENT COSTS

MID TERM PROJECTS

TIMELINE: 5 - 10 YEARS

MID TERM PROJECTS

Project name: Downtown Park

Park classification: Currently Unspecified / Potential Specialty Park

Description: Development of a park in the downtown district that adds a new and/or complementary type of recreation that would serve the growing populations within the surrounding neighborhoods, as well as the broader community with opportunity for community gathering spaces and events. Development and corresponding estimate of probable costs would be determined by a master plan process, but a general placeholder budget is currently provided which can be updated upon completion of the master plan.

Justification: As a park within the downtown district it would have high visibility that would enhance the downtown and provide opportunities for gathering areas and events that may contribute to revenue generation for the businesses while also providing recreation for new and growing populations.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
Downtown Park	Budgetary \$500,000		2025

MID TERM PROJECTS

Project name: Northwood

Park classification: Large Neighborhood

Description: Improvements to Northwood Park to potentially include new rink boards with added basketball goal standards on the rink for multi-purpose and multi-season use, a new warming house building with restrooms and exterior open air shelter space, a new open air shelter by the playground, added gaga pit, added volleyball court, trail connections, and related benches, tables and grills.

Justification: As a large neighborhood park, the improvements would bring the park service level up to desired standards and provide proper accessibility to the amenities.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
Northwood Park Improvements	\$1.3 - \$1.7M		2025-2027

MID TERM PROJECTS

Project name: ~~McNight~~

Park classification: Community Park / Athletic Fields

Description: Improvements and replacement of existing amenities and/or added amenities based upon approved master plan recommendations.

Justification: As a popular community park focused on athletics which also brings in revenue for the community, improvements are necessary to keep facilities up to adequate design standards to meet the ongoing demands of athletics, the school and residents.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
McNight	\$TBD		2028-2030

MID TERM PROJECTS

Project name: Silver Lake

Park classification: Community

Description: Improvements to Silver Lake Park to include replacement of the outdated playground on the east side of the park which could include more unique or challenging pieces to serve a different age group from the equipment on the west side of the park. Trail connections to existing amenities would be included for improved accessibility with an added security light for safety improvements, new park signage and added receptacles.

Justification: As a popular community park with a strong appeal for the beach, the new restrooms would meet the needs of the users by replacing an outdated structure. The new playground equipment would help meet a need for a varying demographic with other improvements focused on improved accessibility and safety.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
Silver Lake Park Improvements	\$724,000-\$941,000		2030-2032

MID TERM PROJECTS

Project name: Casey Lake Improvements

Park classification: Community

Description: Improvements and replacement of existing amenities and/or added amenities based upon approved master plan recommendations.

Justification: Casey Lake is a community park with a variety of active and passive recreation which draws in use from across the community. Improvements would be focused on the eastern section of the park with improvements on overall layout , improved athletics, and bringing the amenities up to current design standards to provide quality recreation across multiple seasons.

Estimate of Probable Costs

	Estimated Costs	Funding	Timeline
Casey Lake Site Improvements	\$TBD (~\$2.5M+)		2032-2034

CONCLUSION

The Park Improvement Plan provides general insight into known infrastructure needs at the time it was written. Unknown community needs and related costs will ultimately affect the overall prioritization strategy for implementation along with actual funding available.

The Park Improvement Plan costs for improvements highlight the need for making more significant investments into parks in the upcoming years based on meeting the goals of this plan that place a higher priority on community parks. As parks continue to age and fall into a state of disrepair, this can negatively affect community perception of the overall system. This is especially true for the larger parks in the system that receive more significant use and have higher related costs for improvements due to the extent of recreation available. This emphasizes the need for making strategic investments based on community needs and a visionary approach, rather than a reactionary or piecemeal approach.

Recommendations moving forward include developing a Needs Assessment that accounts for current and anticipated demographics to ensure priorities are in alignment with actual need, along with the development of a comprehensive asset management system to help track and identify funding needs over time. Priorities and related costs should be updated annually.

a. Review Park Improvement Plan

Ms. Ritchie stated the Parks & Recreation Commission has requested feedback and comments from the Planning Commission with regard to the draft Parks Improvement Plan.

Chair Barton stated the Planning Commission reviews the draft plan for consistency with Comprehensive Plan goals.

Commissioner Gelbmann expressed his appreciation for the hard work and efforts of the Parks & Recreation Commission. He added the Master Plan Review sessions included discussions regarding open space and vacant lots that could be used as informal parks. He noted there is a broad review of 3 major purposes on page 15 of 170 in the meeting packet.

Chair Barton stated the Resiliency Chapter is something totally new in the Comprehensive Plan. She added key components of the Resiliency Chapter are connecting people and places; fostering a healthy community; parks and trails access and connectivity; and multi-modal transportation. She noted some language could be taken from the Resiliency Chapter.

Commissioner Gelbmann stated the last paragraph on page 17 in the packet discusses similar components but does not set it up as a purpose or priority.

Ms. Ritchie read a chat comment from Candace from WSB: "I was asked not to do any planning work, but rather focus on revisions related to parks."

Chair Barton stated the implementation section was a very good conclusion to the proposed plan, and provided a path going forward, which is very important.

Commissioner Gelbmann stated, on page 49 of 170, there is no mention of Casey Lake water quality and the aerator. He added it would be worthwhile to mention that issue.

Chair Barton stated there was also no mention of the retaining wall that is required at Colby Hills Park playground.

Chair Barton stated, in the chart on page 50, McKnight, Casey Lake and Silver Lake Parks should be identified as Community Parks (CP) rather than Regional Parks (RP). Commissioner Gelbmann agreed, adding they are listed as community parks in the Comprehensive Plan.

Chair Barton stated, in the list of facility types on page 49, it would be helpful to differentiate between which age groups would use each playground; for instance, ages 2-5 or 5-12.

Ms. Ritchie stated that could be added if someone can provide that information before the plan goes to print.

Chair Barton stated the Environmental Learning Center is not addressed anywhere, nor Central Park, at the corner of Seppala Boulevard and Margaret Street.

Ms. Ritchie stated there was discussion regarding whether they are actually identified as parks. Chair Barton stated they are identified as parks in the Comprehensive Plan.

Commissioner Gelbmann stated the Urban Ecology Center is referenced on page 58, and shown incorrectly on the zoning map. Chair Barton agreed.

Commissioner Gelbmann stated he noticed some small typos, which he agreed to email to Ms. Ritchie.

Chair Barton referred to page 11. Ms. Ritchie stated that is a blank page that needs to be deleted.

Chair Barton stated page 11 in her packet refers to the parks classification “Specialty Park”. She requested clarification regarding the fourth bullet point under Prescription. She added both Rotary Park and the Urban Ecology Center are located along the Gateway State Trail, serving as an access point to the downtown area, and should be included in this parks designation.

Chair Barton stated she was happy to see that there is acknowledgement that the City has a lot of work to do on accessibility to and within the City’s parks.

Chair Barton stated the important part is getting a broad range of input. She added it is problematic to contact all the residents of a large apartment building to give them parks information.

Commissioner Monge stated the Commission had talked about adding benches to spaces that are open for redevelopment, making them aesthetically pleasing and inviting.

Chair Barton stated the Commission had discussed getting a connection from the parking lot behind K&J.

Ms. Ritchie read a chat comment from Candace: “many methods for community engagement to gather input as part of a needs analysis.”

City Council Liaison Lisa Wong stated the edges of the volleyball court are very dangerous. She added she appreciates the funding aspects of the proposed plan, which is well done, and helpful for the City Council in having budget discussions regarding parks and trails.

Chair Barton stated Polar Park is identified as a small neighborhood park, but it is shown in the Comprehensive Plan as 2.83 acres, which is larger than Tower Park at 2.67 acres.

Ms. Ritchie read a chat comment from Candace: “do you know if the category of park is off the acreage of the park? How are they classified? By acreage? Small neighborhood park is usually under 3 acres.”

Chair Barton stated the Comprehensive Plan identifies Polar Park at 2.83 acres, Tower Park at 2.67 acres, and Hause Park at 2.96 acres.

Ms. Ritchie read a chat comment from Candace: “some classifications will have neighborhood park and mini park for those around 1 acre.”



NORTH ST. PAUL

extraordinary.

City of North St Paul Parks and Recreation Commission

Rough Draft Mission and Vision

Last Update: January 3rd, 2022

Mission:

The North St Paul Park and Recreation Commission acts in an advisory capacity to the Mayor, City Council, and other agencies and organizations to sustain park lands, preserve natural resources, provide places for all people to gather, play, and engage in activities that spark joy, promote health, well-being, community, and environmental stewardship.

Promise to the Community

As citizens and customers you deserve the best possible service, programs, and facilities. We, the North St Paul Parks and Recreation Commission, promise to do everything possible to cheerfully and respectfully serve you by providing clean, safe facilities; enjoyable, safe programs; and timely and effective services, which includes, listening and responding to your suggestions and concerns.

Vision Overview

- Enhance and expand the parks, trails and open space system to ensure that all have access to quality community facilities.
- Protect and enhance the lakes, wetlands, woods and wildlife, and promote actions, practices and developments which will sustain the environment. Become more resilient to climate change through climate change mitigation and adaptation.
- Promote community stewardship through an involved and informed citizenry.
- Achieve a functional, aesthetic, and balanced park system that includes pedestrian-friendly sidewalks and trails, local streets, collectors, arterials, a freeway, and transit.

Vision in Detail:

In the development of the 2040 Comprehensive Plan for the City of North St Paul feedback was solicited through various methods, common themes emerged from the engagement effort. The common themes regarding parks and recreation were used to shape the goals below.

1. Preserve and invest in existing recreational spaces, parks, and lakes.
2. Parks, open spaces and trails draw people to neighborhoods. Parks should include quality community spaces while bike infrastructure and racks should be increased to spur an increase in trail usage.
3. Due to increased population density, a park or activity space is needed downtown.
4. Recreational water quality and aesthetics should be prioritized and monitored.

When making decisions, North St. Paul Park Commissioners will

<u>Parks, Open Space and Trails:</u>		
Goal	Questions	Measures
Enhance and expand the parks, trails and open space system to ensure that all have access to quality community facilities.	- Will this action preserve important natural features? - Will this action connect existing parks and open spaces? - Does this action improve or preserve access to North St. Paul's parks and open spaces?	- Usage of parks and trails by community members of all ages, income levels, abilities, races and national origins - Number of new parks created - Amount of open space and trail in the Highway 36 Corridor - Establishment of new trails connect schools, parks, commercial areas and downtown - Connections to the Gateway Trail - Number of facilities in compliance with ADA requirements

<u>Resilience and the Environment:</u>		
Goal	Questions	Measures
Protect and enhance the lakes, wetlands, woods and wildlife and promote actions, practices and developments which will sustain the environment. Become more resilient to climate change through climate change mitigation and adaptation.	- Does this action preserve significant natural resources or habitats? - Will this action reward or encourage alternative energy? - Will this action improve or preserve surface water quality? - Does this action help protect North St. Paul residents against the effects of climate change?	- City solar and wind energy capacity - Measurements of pollutants in natural drainage ways - City recycling rate - Percentage of total impervious surface in the City Trends in greenhouse gas emissions Implementation of an emergency preparedness plan for severe weather events including prolonged heat waves, with particular attention to vulnerable populations.

<u>Community engagement & communication:</u>		
Goal	Questions	Measures
Promote community stewardship through an involved and informed citizenry	- Does this action help communicate with everyone in the community? Were all members of the community told about the deliberation of this action in an accessible way? - How will the results of this action be shared with the community in an accessible	- Number and diversity of attendees at City and community events to improve interaction with the community. - Continued change in demographics of the community, supporting and enhancing cultural differences. - Integration of cultural diversity into community events - Increase in the quality and accessibility of information made available to the

	way? • Is communication infrastructure maintained and enhanced? • Is the City maintaining relationships to communicate to groups throughout the City?	community. • Number of community-based educational programs for youth, students, and adults • Citizen feedback regarding level and quality of services delivered
--	---	--